



f-BIMINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF GENERALIZED SPACE FORMS

FATMA KARACA

ABSTRACT. We study *f*-biminimal submanifolds in generalized complex space forms and generalized Sasakian space forms. Then, we analyze *f*-biminimal submanifolds in these spaces. Finally, we consider *f*-biminimal integral submanifolds in Sasakian space forms and give an example.

1. INTRODUCTION

Harmonic map is a map $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds which is a critical point of the *energy functional*

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \|d\varphi\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M . The Euler-Lagrange equation of energy functional $E(\varphi)$ is given by

$$\tau(\varphi) = \text{tr}(\nabla d\varphi) = 0,$$

where $\tau(\varphi)$ is the *tension field* of φ [4]. A map φ is called to be *biharmonic* if it is a critical point of the *bienergy functional*

$$E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \|\tau(\varphi)\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M . In [8], the Euler-Lagrange equation of bienergy functional $E_2(\varphi)$ is given by

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = \text{tr}(\nabla^{\varphi} \nabla^{\varphi} - \nabla_{\nabla}^{\varphi})\tau(\varphi) - \text{tr}(R^N(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\tau_2(\varphi)$ is the *bitension field* of φ and R^N is the curvature tensor of N .

Received by the editors: January 10, 2018, Accepted: August 08, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25, 53C42, 53C43.

Key words and phrases. *f*-biminimal submanifolds, generalized complex space form, generalized Sasakian space form.

A map φ is said to be *f-harmonic* with a function $f : M \xrightarrow{C^\infty} \mathbb{R}$ if it is a critical point of *f-energy functional*

$$E_f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f \|d\varphi\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M . In [3] and [15], the Euler-Lagrange equation of the *f-harmonic functional* $E_f(\varphi)$ is given by

$$\tau_f(\varphi) = f\tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(\text{grad}f) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\tau_f(\varphi)$ is the *f-tension field* of φ . The map φ is called to be *f-biharmonic* [12] if it is a critical point of the *f-bienergy functional*

$$E_{2,f}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f \|\tau(\varphi)\|^2 d\nu_g,$$

where Ω is a compact domain of M . The Euler-Lagrange equation of *f-bienergy functional* $E_{2,f}(\varphi)$ is given by

$$\tau_{2,f}(\varphi) = f\tau_2(\varphi) + \Delta f\tau(\varphi) + 2\nabla_{\text{grad}f}^{\varphi}\tau(\varphi) = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\tau_{2,f}(\varphi)$ is called the *f-bitension field* of φ [12]. If f is a constant, an *f-biharmonic map* turns into a biharmonic map.

An immersion φ is called *biminimal* [11] if it is a critical point of the bienergy functional $E_2(\varphi)$ for variations normal to the image $\varphi(M) \subset N$, with fixed energy. Equivalently, there exists a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that φ is a critical point of the λ -bienergy

$$E_{2,\lambda}(\varphi) = E_2(\varphi) + \lambda E(\varphi) \quad (1.4)$$

for any smooth variation of the map $\varphi_t :]-\epsilon, +\epsilon[$, $\varphi_0 = \varphi$, such that $V = \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt} |_{t=0} = 0$ is normal to $\varphi(M)$. The Euler-Lagrange equation of λ -bienergy functional $E_{2,\lambda}(\varphi)$ is given by

$$[\tau_{2,\lambda}(\varphi)]^{\perp} = [\tau_2(\varphi)]^{\perp} - \lambda[\tau(\varphi)]^{\perp} = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

for some value of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

An immersion φ is called to be *f-biminimal* [7] if it is critical points of the *f-bienergy functional* $E_{2,f}(\varphi)$ and *f-energy functional* $E_f(\varphi)$ for variations normal to the image $\varphi(M) \subset N$, with fixed energy. Equivalently, there exists a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that φ is a critical point of the λ -*f-bienergy functional*

$$E_{2,\lambda,f}(\varphi) = E_{2,f}(\varphi) + \lambda E_f(\varphi)$$

for any smooth variation of the map $\varphi_t :]-\epsilon, +\epsilon[$, $\varphi_0 = \varphi$, such that $V = \frac{d\varphi_t}{dt} |_{t=0} = 0$ is normal to $\varphi(M)$. The Euler-Lagrange equation of λ -*f-bienergy functional* $E_{2,\lambda,f}(\varphi)$ is given by

$$[\tau_{2,\lambda,f}(\varphi)]^{\perp} = [\tau_{2,f}(\varphi)]^{\perp} - \lambda[\tau_f(\varphi)]^{\perp} = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

for some value of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. It is called an immersion *free f-biminimal* if it is *f-biminimal* for $\lambda = 0$. If f is a constant, then the immersion is *biminimal* [7].

In [11], Loubeau and Montaldo defined biminimal immersions. They studied biminimal curves in a Riemannian manifold, curves in a space form, and isometric immersions of codimension 1 in a Riemannian manifold. In [7], the author and Özgür introduced *f*-biminimal immersions. They studied *f*-biminimal curves and hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold. In [17], Roth and Upadhyay studied biharmonic submanifolds in generalized space forms. In [18], the same authors studied necessary and sufficient conditions for *f*-biharmonicity and bi-*f*-harmonicity in generalized space forms. Motivated by the above studies, in the present paper, we consider *f*-biminimal submanifolds in generalized space forms. We find the necessary and sufficient conditions for submanifolds in generalized space forms to be *f*-biminimal.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Generalized complex space forms. Let (N^{2n}, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold. The manifold (N^{2n}, g, J) is called *generalized complex space form* if its curvature tensor R is given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \alpha [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] + \beta [g(JY, Z)JX - g(JX, Z)JY + 2g(JY, X)JZ]. \tag{2.1}$$

where α and β are smooth functions on N [14],[19]. Assume that M be a submanifold of $N(\alpha, \beta)$ which is 4-dimensional generalized complex space form . Denote by J is an almost complex structure. It is easy to see that J satisfies

$$J^2 = -I \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$g(JX, Y) = -g(X, JY) \tag{2.3}$$

for X, Y tangent to $N(\alpha, \beta)$. Then we have

$$\nabla J = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

where ∇ means covariant derivation according to the Levi-civita connection.

Let $X \in TM$ and $\xi \in T^\perp M$. The decompositions of JX and $J\xi$ into tangent and normal components can be written as

$$JX = kX + hX \text{ and } J\xi = s\xi + t\xi, \tag{2.5}$$

where $k : TM \rightarrow TM$, $h : TM \rightarrow T^\perp M$, $s : T^\perp M \rightarrow TM$, and $t : T^\perp M \rightarrow T^\perp M$ are (1, 1)-tensor fields. From equations (2.2) and (2.3), it is easy to see that k and t are skew-symmetric and satisfy the following properties:

$$k^2X = -X - shX, \tag{2.6}$$

$$t^2\xi = -\xi - hs\xi, \tag{2.7}$$

$$ks\xi + st\xi = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$hkX + thX = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$g(hX, \xi) = -g(X, s\xi) \quad (2.10)$$

for all $X \in TM$ and all $\xi \in T^\perp M$ [17].

2.2. Generalized Sasakian space forms. Let $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1} = \widetilde{M}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, \widetilde{g})$ be an almost contact metric manifold with almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, \widetilde{g})$. The notion of a generalized Sasakian space form is introduced by Alegre, Blair and Carriazo in [1]. The manifold $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1} = \widetilde{M}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, \widetilde{g})$ is called a *generalized Sasakian space form* if its curvature tensor \widetilde{R} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= f_1 \{ \widetilde{g}(Y, Z)X - \widetilde{g}(X, Z)Y \} \\ &+ f_2 \{ \widetilde{g}(X, \varphi Z)\varphi Y - \widetilde{g}(Y, \varphi Z)\varphi X + 2\widetilde{g}(X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z \} \\ &+ f_3 \{ \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \widetilde{g}(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - \widetilde{g}(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi \} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

for certain differentiable functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 on \widetilde{M}^{2n+1} [1]. The typical examples of generalized Sasakian space forms with constant functions are a Sasakian space form ($f_1 = \frac{c+3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c-1}{4}$) [2], a Kenmotsu space form ($f_1 = \frac{c-3}{4}, f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c+1}{4}$) [9], a cosymplectic space form ($f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = \frac{c}{4}$) [13].

Let (M, g) be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \widetilde{M}^{2n+1} . Let $X \in TM$ and $\vartheta \in T^\perp M$. The decompositions of φX and $\varphi\vartheta$ into tangent and normal components can be written as

$$\varphi X = PX + NX \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi\vartheta = t\vartheta + s\vartheta, \quad (2.12)$$

where $P : TM \rightarrow TM, N : TM \rightarrow T^\perp M, t : T^\perp M \rightarrow TM$, and $s : T^\perp M \rightarrow T^\perp M$ are $(1, 1)$ -tensor fields. A submanifold M of a generalized Sasakian space form \widetilde{M}^{2n+1} is called *anti-invariant* (resp. *invariant*) if P (resp. N) vanishes identically. Moreover, it is known that $\varphi(T_X M) \subset T_X^\perp M$ for all $X \in M$, then M is anti-invariant [10], [20]. A submanifold M of a Sasakian space form N^{2n+1} is called an *integral submanifold* if $\eta(X) = 0$ for any vector field X tangent to M [2].

3. f -BIMINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF GENERALIZED COMPLEX SPACE FORMS

Let $N(\alpha, \beta)$ be a generalized complex space form and M^n an $n < 4$ -dimensional submanifold of $N(\alpha, \beta)$ and denote by B, A, H, ∇^\perp and Δ^\perp , the second fundamental form, the shape operator, the mean curvature vector field, the connection and the Laplacian in normal bundle, respectively.

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let M^n be a submanifold of a generalized complex space form $N(\alpha, \beta)$. The submanifold $i : M^n \rightarrow N(\alpha, \beta)$ is f -biminimal if and only if*

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace} B(\cdot, A_H) - n\alpha H + 3\beta h s H - \lambda H + \frac{\Delta f}{f} H + 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ be a local geodesic orthonormal frame at $p \in M$. From [5], [6] and [16], it is clear that the normal parts of the tension field, the bitension field and *f*-bitension field of *i* are

$$[\tau(i)]^\perp = nH, \tag{3.2}$$

$$[\tau_2(i)]^\perp = n \left\{ -\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n R^N(e_i, H)e_i \right)^\perp \right\} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$[\tau_{2,f}(\varphi)]^\perp = f[\tau_2(\varphi)]^\perp + \Delta f[\tau(\varphi)]^\perp + 2 \left[\nabla_{\text{grad } f}^\varphi \tau(\varphi) \right]^\perp. \tag{3.4}$$

Using the equation (3.2) into (1.2), we can write

$$[\tau_f(\varphi)]^\perp = f[\tau(\varphi)]^\perp = fnH. \tag{3.5}$$

From the equation (2.1), after a straightforward computation, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n R^N(e_i, H)e_i \right)^\perp = -\alpha nH + 3\beta hsH. \tag{3.6}$$

Then, putting the equation (3.6) into equation (3.3), we can write

$$[\tau_2(i)]^\perp = n \{ -\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - \alpha nH + 3\beta hsH \}. \tag{3.7}$$

From the Weingarten formula, we have

$$\left[\nabla_{\text{grad } f}^\varphi \tau(\varphi) \right]^\perp = \left[\nabla_{\text{grad } f}^\varphi nH \right]^\perp = n \nabla_{\text{grad } f}^\perp H. \tag{3.8}$$

Putting the equations (3.2), (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau_{2,f}(\varphi)]^\perp &= nf \left(-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - \alpha nH + 3\beta hsH \right) \\ &\quad + nf(\Delta f)H + 2n \nabla_{\text{grad } f}^\perp H. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, substituting the equations (3.5) and (3.9) into the equation (1.6), we obtain

$$nf \left\{ -\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - n\alpha H + 3\beta hsH - \lambda H + \frac{\Delta f}{f} H + 2 \nabla_{\text{grad } \ln f}^\perp H \right\} = 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.1. *Let M^n be a submanifold with $n < 4$ of a generalized complex space form $N(\alpha, \beta)$.*

1) *M^n is an *f*-biminimal hypersurface if and only if*

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - \left(3\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H + 2 \nabla_{\text{grad } \ln f}^\perp H = 0.$$

2) *M^n is an *f*-biminimal complex surface if and only if*

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - \left(2\alpha + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H + 2 \nabla_{\text{grad } \ln f}^\perp H = 0.$$

3) M^n is an f -biminimal Lagrangian surface if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H) - \left(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H + 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H = 0.$$

4) M^n is an f -biminimal curve if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H) - \left(\alpha + 3\beta + 3\beta t^2 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H + 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H = 0.$$

Proof. 1) Since M^n is a hypersurface, we have $t = 0$ and $n = 3$. By the use of equation (2.7), we have $ksH = -H$. From Theorem 3.1, we get the result.

2) Since M^n is a complex surface, we get $k = 0$, $s = 0$ and $n = 2$. Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain the result.

3) Since M^n is a Lagrangian surface, we have $k = 0$, $t = 0$ and $n = 2$. By the use of equation (2.7), we have $hsH = -H$. From Theorem 3.1, we get the result.

4) Since M^n is a curve, we get $k = 0$ and $n = 1$. By the use of equation (2.7), we have $hsH = -(H + t^2H)$. Using Theorem 3.1, we obtain the result.

This completes the proof. \square

As an immediate consequence of the above corollary for curves and complex or Lagrangian surfaces with parallel mean curvature, we have:

Corollary 3.2. *Let M^n be a submanifold with $n < 4$ of a generalized complex space form $N(\alpha, \beta)$.*

1) M^n is an f -biminimal complex surface with parallel mean curvature if and only if

$$\text{trace}B(., A_H) = \left(2\alpha + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H.$$

2) M^n is an f -biminimal Lagrangian surface with parallel mean curvature if and only if

$$\text{trace}B(., A_H) = \left(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H.$$

3) M^n is an f -biminimal curve with parallel mean curvature if and only if

$$\text{trace}B(., A_H) = \left(\alpha + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H + 3\beta (H + t^2 H).$$

Now, we have the following proposition for hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a generalized complex space form $N(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let M^3 be a hypersurface of a generalized complex space form $N(\alpha, \beta)$ with non-zero constant mean curvature H . Then M^3 is f -biminimal if and only if*

$$\|B\|^2 = 3\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}$$

and the scalar curvature of M^3 satisfies

$$Scal_M = 3\alpha + 3\beta - \lambda + \frac{\Delta f}{f} + 9H^2.$$

Proof. Assume that M^3 is a hypersurface, from Corollary 3.1, M^3 is *f*-biminimal if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + traceB(., A_H) - \left(3\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H + 2\nabla_{grad \ln f}^\perp H = 0.$$

Since M^3 has constant mean curvature, we can write

$$traceB(., A_H) = \left(3\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H.$$

In addition, for hypersurfaces, it is clear that $A_H = HA$. Then, we get

$$H \|B\|^2 = \left(3\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H.$$

Since H is a non-zero constant mean curvature, we get

$$\|B\|^2 = \left(3\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) \tag{3.10}$$

By the use of the Gauss equation, we obtain

$$Scal_M = \sum_{i,j=1}^3 g(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) + 9H^2 - \|B\|^2 \tag{3.11}$$

where $\{e_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$ be a local geodesic orthonormal frame at $p \in M$. Using equation (2.1), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 g(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) &= \alpha \sum_{i,j=1}^3 [g(e_j, e_j)g(e_i, e_i) - g(e_i, e_j)^2] \\ &+ \beta \sum_{i,j=1}^3 [g(Je_j, e_j)g(Je_i, e_i) - g(Je_i, e_j)g(Je_j, e_i) + 2g(Je_j, e_i)g(Je_j, e_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^3 g(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) = 6\alpha + 6\beta. \tag{3.12}$$

Finally, in view of equations (3.10) and (3.12) into (3.11), we get

$$Scal_M = 3\alpha + 3\beta - \lambda + \frac{\Delta f}{f} + 9H^2.$$

This proves the proposition. □

For Lagrangian surfaces of $N(\alpha, \beta)$, we can state the following proposition:

Proposition 3.2. *Let M^2 be a Lagrangian surface of $N(\alpha, \beta)$ with non-zero constant mean curvature H .*

1) *If M^2 is f -biminimal, then*

$$0 < \|H\|^2 \leq \inf \left(\frac{2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}}{2} \right). \quad (3.13)$$

2) *Assume that f is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ corresponding to real eigenvalue μ . Hence the equality in (3.13) occurs and M^2 is f -biminimal if and only if M^2 is pseudo-umbilical and $\nabla^\perp H = 0$.*

Proof. Let M^2 be a Lagrangian surface. From Corollary (3.1), we have

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) - \left(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H + 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Then taking the scalar product of equation (3.14) with H , we find

$$-g(\Delta^\perp H, H) + g(\text{tr}B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)), H) - \left(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) g(H, H) + 2g(\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H, H) = 0.$$

Since $\|H\|$ is a constant, we have

$$-g(\Delta^\perp H, H) + \|A_H\|^2 = \left(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) \|H\|^2.$$

Using the Bochner formula, we get

$$\|\nabla^\perp H\|^2 + \|A_H\|^2 = \left(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) \|H\|^2. \quad (3.15)$$

By the use of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have $\|A_H\|^2 \geq 2\|H\|^4$. Hence, we find

$$\left(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) \|H\|^2 \geq 2\|H\|^4 + \|\nabla^\perp H\|^2 \geq 2\|H\|^4. \quad (3.16)$$

Since $\|H\|$ is a non-zero constant, we can write

$$0 < \|H\|^2 \leq \inf \left(\frac{2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}}{2} \right). \quad (3.17)$$

Now, if f is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ corresponding to the real eigenvalue μ , then $\frac{\Delta f}{f} = \mu$. We can write

$$\|H\|^2 = \left(\frac{2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \mu}{2} \right). \quad (3.18)$$

Assume that M^2 is f -biminimal. From (3.16), we obtain $\nabla^\perp H = 0$. In addition, substituting the equation (3.18) into (3.16), we get

$$\|A_H\|^2 = \frac{(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \mu)^2}{2}.$$

That is, M^2 is pseudo-umbilical. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 1. Let M^2 be a Lagrangian surface of a generalized complex space form $N(\alpha, \beta)$ with non-zero constant mean curvature H .

Remark 3.1. 1) If $\inf\left(2\alpha + 3\beta + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)$ is non-positive then M^2 is not f -biminimal.

2) Using the Proposition 3.8 in [18], we obtain that if $\inf\left(2\alpha + 3\beta - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)$ is non-positive and $\lambda > \left|2\alpha + 3\beta - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right|$ then M^2 is f -biminimal and not f -biharmonic.

For complex surfaces of $N(\alpha, \beta)$, we can state the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3. Let M^2 be a complex surface of the generalized complex space form $N(\alpha, \beta)$ with non-zero constant mean curvature H .

1) If M^2 is f -biminimal, then

$$0 < \|H\|^2 \leq \inf\left(\frac{2\alpha + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}}{2}\right). \tag{3.19}$$

2) Assume that f is an eigenfunction of the Laplacian Δ corresponding to real eigenvalue μ . Hence the equality in (3.19) occurs and M^2 is f -biminimal if and only if M^2 is pseudo-umbilical and $\nabla^\perp H = 0$.

Proof. By the same method in the proof of Proposition (3.2), we get the result. \square

Remark 3.2. Let M^2 be a complex surface of the generalized complex space form $N(\alpha, \beta)$ with non-zero constant mean curvature H .

1) If $\inf\left(2\alpha + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)$ is non-positive then M^2 is not f -biminimal.

2) Using the Proposition 3.9 in [18], we obtain that if $\inf\left(2\alpha - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)$ is non-positive and $\lambda > \left|2\alpha - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right|$ then M^2 is f -biminimal and not f -biharmonic.

4. f-BIMINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF GENERALIZED SASAKIAN SPACE FORMS

Let $\widetilde{M}^{2n+1} = \widetilde{M}(\varphi, \xi, \eta, \widetilde{g})$ be a generalized Sasakian space form and (M^n, g) an n -dimensional submanifold of \widetilde{M}^{2n+1} and denote by B, A, H, ∇^\perp and Δ^\perp , the second fundamental form, the shape operator, the mean curvature vector field, the connection and the Laplacian in normal bundle, respectively.

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. Let M^n be a submanifold of a generalized Sasakian space form \widetilde{M}^{2n+1} . The submanifold $i : M^n \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{2n+1}$ is f -biminimal if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - \left(nf_1 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right)H + 3f_2 NtH + f_3 |\xi^\top|^2 H$$

$$+nf_3\eta(H)\xi^\perp + 2\nabla_{\text{grad ln } f}^\perp H = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ be a local geodesic orthonormal frame at $p \in M$. From the equation (2.11), after a straightforward computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(e_i, H)e_i &= -f_1\tilde{g}(e_i, e_i)H - 3f_2\tilde{g}(H, \varphi e_i)\varphi e_i \\ &+ f_3 \left[\eta(e_i)^2 H - \eta(H)\eta(e_i)e_i + \tilde{g}(e_i, e_i)\eta(H)\xi \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Using the equation (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{R}(e_i, H)e_i &= -nf_1H + 3f_2 [PtH + NtH] \\ &+ f_3 \left[|\xi^\top|^2 H - \eta(H)\xi^\top + n\eta(H)\xi \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Hence, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{R}(e_i, H)e_i \right)^\perp = -nf_1H + 3f_2 (NtH) + f_3 \left[|\xi^\top|^2 H + n\eta(H)\xi^\perp \right]. \tag{4.4}$$

Then, putting the equation (4.4) into equation (3.3), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau_2(i)]^\perp &= n \left\{ -\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - nf_1H + 3f_2 (NtH) \right. \\ &\left. + f_3 \left[|\xi^\top|^2 H + n\eta(H)\xi^\perp \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Putting the equations (3.2), (3.8) and (4.5) into (3.4), we find

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau_{2,f}(\varphi)]^\perp &= nf \left(-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - nf_1H + 3f_2 (NtH) \right) \\ &+ (nf) f_3 \left[|\xi^\top|^2 H + n\eta(H)\xi^\perp \right] + nf(\Delta f)H + 2n\nabla_{\text{grad } f}^\perp H. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Finally, substituting equations (3.5) and (4.6) into equation (1.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} nf \left\{ -\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) - \left(nf_1 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H + 3f_2 (NtH) \right. \\ \left. + f_3 \left[|\xi^\top|^2 H + n\eta(H)\xi^\perp \right] + 2\nabla_{\text{grad ln } f}^\perp H \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.1. *Let M^n be a submanifold of a generalized Sasakian space form \widetilde{M}^{2n+1} .*

1) *If M^n is invariant, then M^n is f -biminimal if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) + 2\nabla_{\text{grad ln } f}^\perp H &= \left(nf_1 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H \\ -f_3 |\xi^\top|^2 H - nf_3\eta(H)\xi^\perp &. \end{aligned}$$

2) If ξ is normal to M^n , then M^n is f -biminimal if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) + 2\nabla_{\text{grad ln } f}^\perp H = \left(nf_1 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H - 3f_2 NtH - nf_3 \eta(H) \xi.$$

3) If ξ is tangent to M^n , then M^n is f -biminimal if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) + 2\nabla_{\text{grad ln } f}^\perp H = \left(nf_1 - f_3 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H - 3f_2 NtH.$$

4) If M^{2n} is a hypersurface, then M^{2n} is f -biminimal if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) + 2\nabla_{\text{grad ln } f}^\perp H = \left(2nf_1 + 3f_2 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H - (3f_2 + 2nf_3) \eta(H) \xi^\perp - f_3 |\xi^\top|^2 H.$$

Proof. 1) Assume that M^n is invariant, then we have $N = 0$. From Theorem 4.1, we obtain the result.

2) If ξ is normal to M^n , then M^n is anti-invariant, $\xi^\perp = \xi$ and $\xi^\top = 0$. From Theorem 4.1, we obtain this case.

3) If ξ is tangent to M^n , then $\xi^\perp = 0$ and $\xi^\top = \xi$ and $|\xi| = 1$. From Theorem 4.1, we find this case.

4) Assume that M^{2n} is a hypersurface. Hence, we have $\varphi(H)$ is tangent and $sH = 0$. Then, we obtain $-H + \eta(H)\xi = PtH + NtH$. Hence comparing the tangential and normal parts, $NtH = -H + \eta(H)\xi^\perp$ and $PtH = \eta(H)\xi^\top$ which gives the result. \square

Proposition 4.1. *Let M^{2n} be a hypersurface of a generalized Sasakian space form \widetilde{M}^{2n+1} with non-zero constant mean curvature H such that ξ is tangent to M^{2n} . Then M^{2n} is f -biminimal if and only if*

$$\|B\|^2 = 2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}$$

and the scalar curvature of M^{2n} satisfies

$$\text{Scal}_M = 2n(2n - 2)f_1 + 6(n - 1)f_2 - (4n - 3)f_3 - \lambda + 4n^2H^2 + \frac{\Delta f}{f}.$$

Proof. Suppose that M^{2n} is a hypersurface, from Corollary 4.1, M^{2n} is f -biminimal if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace}B(., A_H.) + 2\nabla_{\text{grad ln } f}^\perp H = \left(2nf_1 + 3f_2 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H - (3f_2 + 2nf_3) \eta(H) \xi^\perp - f_3 |\xi^\top|^2 H.$$

Since M^{2n} has constant mean curvature, we can write

$$traceB(., A_H.) = \left(2nf_1 + 3f_2 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H - (3f_2 + 2nf_3) \eta(H) \xi^\perp - f_3 |\xi^\top|^2 H.$$

Using Lemma 4.4 in [17], we have $Pt = 0$ and $Nt = -I$. Suppose that ξ is tangent to M^{2n} , then it is known that $\xi^\perp = 0$, $\xi^\top = \xi$ and $|\xi| = 1$. Hence,

$$traceB(., A_H.) = \left(2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right) H.$$

In addition, H is a non-zero constant and it is clear that $A_H = HA$ for hypersurfaces. Then, we get

$$\|B\|^2 = \left(2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f}\right). \tag{4.7}$$

Using the Gauss equation, we obtain

$$Scal_M = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} g(\tilde{R}(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) + (2n)^2 H^2 - \|B\|^2 \tag{4.8}$$

where $\{e_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ be a local geodesic orthonormal frame at $p \in M$. By the use of equation (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \tilde{g}(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) = f_1 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} [\tilde{g}(e_j, e_j)\tilde{g}(e_i, e_i) - \tilde{g}(e_i, e_j)^2] \\ & + f_2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} [\tilde{g}(e_i, \varphi e_j)\tilde{g}(\varphi e_j, e_i) - \tilde{g}(e_j, \varphi e_j)\tilde{g}(\varphi e_i, e_i) + 2\tilde{g}(e_i, \varphi e_j)\tilde{g}(\varphi e_j, e_i)] \\ & + f_3 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} [\eta(e_i)\eta(e_j)\tilde{g}(e_j, e_i) - \eta(e_j)\eta(e_i)\tilde{g}(e_i, e_i) \\ & + \tilde{g}(e_i, e_j)\eta(e_i)\eta(e_j) - \tilde{g}(e_j, e_j)\eta(e_i)\eta(e_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} g(R^N(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i) = 2n(2n - 1)f_1 + 3(2n - 1)f_2 + f_3(2 - 4n). \tag{4.9}$$

Finally, in view of equations (4.7) and (4.9) into (4.8), we get

$$Scal_M = 2n(2n - 2)f_1 + 6(n - 1)f_2 - f_3(4n - 3) - \lambda + 4n^2 H^2 + \frac{\Delta f}{f}.$$

This proves the proposition. □

Remark 4.1. Let M^{2n} be a constant mean curvature hypersurface of generalized Sasakian space form \widetilde{M}^{2n+1} with tangent ξ .

1) If the functions f_1, f_2, f_3 satisfy the inequality $2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3 + \lambda \leq \frac{\Delta f}{f}$ on M then M is not *f*-biminimal.

2) Using the Corollary 3.13 in [18], we obtain that if $2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3 - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \leq 0$ and $\lambda > \left| 2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3 - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right|$ then M is *f*-biminimal and not *f*-biharmonic.

5. *f*-BIMINIMAL INTEGRAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF SASAKIAN SPACE FORMS

In the present section, we consider *f*-biminimal integral submanifolds in Sasakian space forms and give an example. Now, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. Let M^n be a submanifold of a Sasakian space form N^{2n+1} . The integral submanifold $i : M^n \rightarrow N^{2n+1}$ is *f*-biminimal if and only if

$$-\Delta^\perp H + \text{trace} B(., A_H) - \left(nf_1 + \lambda - \frac{\Delta f}{f} \right) H + 3f_2 H + 2\nabla_{\text{grad} \ln f}^\perp H = 0.$$

Proof. Using the Theorem 4.1 and definition of integral submanifold, we obtain the desired result. □

To obtain an example of *f*-biminimal integral submanifolds, similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, Remark 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 in [6], we state the following Theorem 5.2, Remark 5.1 and Theorem 5.3:

Theorem 5.2. Let $(N^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a strictly regular Sasakian space form with constant φ -sectional curvature c and $i : M \rightarrow N$ an r -dimensional integral submanifold of N , $1 \leq r \leq n$. Consider

$$F : \widetilde{M} = I \times M \rightarrow N \quad , \quad F(t, p) = \phi_t(p) = \phi_p(t),$$

where $I = \mathbb{S}^1$ or $I = \mathbb{R}$ and $\{\phi_t\}_{t \in I}$ is the flow of the vector field ξ . Then $F : (\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g} = dt^2 + i^*g) \rightarrow N$ is a Riemannian immersion [6]. Then \widetilde{M} is *f*-biminimal if and only if M is a *f*-biminimal submanifold of N , where $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function.

Proof. By [6], we have

$$\tau(F)_{(t,p)} = (d\phi_t)_p \tau(i) \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\tau_2(F)_{(t,p)} = (d\phi_t)_p \tau_2(i). \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\sigma \in C(F^{-1}(TN))$ be a section in $F^{-1}(TN)$ defined by

$$\sigma_{(t,p)} = (d\phi_t)_p (Z_p), \tag{5.3}$$

where Z is a vector field along M . Then we have

$$(\nabla_X^F \sigma)_{(t,p)} = (d\phi_t)_p (\nabla_X^N Z) \quad , \quad \forall X \in C(TM), \tag{5.4}$$

where ∇^F is the pull-back connection determined by the Levi-Civita connection on N (see [6]). Using the equations (5.1) and (5.4), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{gradf}^F \tau(F) &= \nabla_{gradf}^F \left((d\phi_t)_p \tau(i) \right) \\ &= (d\phi_t)_p \nabla_{gradf}^i \tau(i). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

In view of the equations (5.1), (5.2) and (5.5) into the equation (2.3), we get

$$[\tau_{2,f}(F)_{(t,p)}]^\perp = (d\phi_t)_p [\tau_{2,f}(i)]^\perp. \tag{5.6}$$

Using the equations (5.1) in (1.2), we obtain

$$[\tau_f(F)_{(t,p)}]^\perp = (d\phi_t)_p [\tau_f(i)]^\perp. \tag{5.7}$$

By the use of the equations (5.6), (5.7) in (1.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau_{2,\lambda,f}(F)_{(t,p)}]^\perp &= [\tau_{2,f}(F)_{(t,p)}]^\perp - \lambda [\tau_f(F)_{(t,p)}]^\perp \\ &= (d\phi_t)_p \left\{ [\tau_{2,f}(i)]^\perp - \lambda [\tau_f(i)]^\perp \right\} = (d\phi_t)_p [\tau_{2,\lambda,f}(i)]^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

By the use of f -biminimality of F and Fubini Theorem, we have

Remark 5.1. *Let $(N^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a compact strictly regular Sasakian manifold and $G : M \rightarrow N$ be an arbitrary smooth map from a compact Riemannian manifold M . If F is f -biminimal, then G is f -biminimal, where*

$$F : \widetilde{M} = \mathbb{S}^1 \times M \rightarrow N \quad , \quad F(t, p) = \phi_t(G(p)).$$

Using the above remark, we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 5.3. *Let $N^{2n+1}(c)$ be a Sasakian space form with constant φ -sectional curvature c and \widetilde{M}^2 a surface of $N^{2n+1}(c)$ invariant under the flow-action of the characteristic vector field ξ . Then \widetilde{M} is f -biminimal if and only if, locally, it is given by $F(t, s) = \phi_t(\gamma(s))$, where γ is a f -biminimal Legendre curve.*

In [7], it is given by an example of f -biminimal Legendre curve in $\mathbb{R}^5(-3)$:

Example 5.1. ([7]) *Let us take $\gamma(t) = (\sin 2t, -\cos 2t, 0, 0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^5(-3)$. The curve γ is an f -biminimal Legendre curve with osculating order $r = 2$, $k_1 = 2$, $f = e^t$, $\varphi T \perp E_2$. The curve γ is not f -biharmonic. For $\lambda \neq -4$, it is easy to see that γ is not biminimal.*

Using Example 5.1 and Theorem 5.3, we can give the following example of f -biminimal surfaces:

Example 5.2. *Let \widetilde{M}^2 be a surface of $\mathbb{R}^5(-3)$ endowed with its canonical Sasakian structure which is invariant under the flow-action of the characteristic vector field ξ . If γ is a Legendre curve given in Example 5.1 and locally, \widetilde{M}^2 is given by $F(t, s) = \phi_t(\gamma(s))$, then \widetilde{M}^2 is f -biminimal. Since γ is not f -biharmonic, \widetilde{M}^2 is not f -biharmonic.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Alegre, P., Blair, D. E., Carriazo, A., Generalized Sasakian space forms, *Israel J. Math.*, 141 (2004), 157–183.
- [2] Blair, D. E., Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, Boston. Birkhauser (2002).
- [3] Course N., f -harmonic maps, PhD, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK, (2004).
- [4] Eells, J. Jr., Sampson, J. H., Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.*, 86(1964), 109–160.
- [5] Fetcu, D., Loubeau, E., Montaldo, S., Oniciuc, C., Biharmonic submanifolds of CP^n , *Math. Z.*, 266 (2010), 505 – 531.
- [6] Fetcu, D., Oniciuc, C., Explicit formulas for biharmonic submanifolds in Sasakian space forms, *Pacific J. Math.* 240 (2009), no. 1, 85–107.
- [7] Gürler F., Özgür C., f -Biminimal immersions, *Turkish J. Math.*, 41 (2017), 564–575.
- [8] Jiang, G.Y., 2-Harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas, *Chinese Ann. Math.*, Ser. A 7(1986), 389–402.
- [9] Kenmotsu, K., A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 24 (1972), 93–103.
- [10] Lotta, A., Slant submanifolds in contact geometry, *Bull. Math. Soc. Roumanie*, 39 (1996), 183-198.
- [11] Loubeau, L., Montaldo S., Biminimal immersions, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.*, 51 (2008), 421-437.
- [12] Lu, W.-J., On f -bi-harmonic maps and bi- f -harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds, *Science China Math.* 58 (2015), 1483-1498.
- [13] Ludden, G.D., Submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds, *J. Differential Geometry*, 4 (1970) 237–244.
- [14] Olszak, Z., On the existence of generalized complex space forms, *Israel J. Math.*, 65 (1989), no. 2, 214 – 218.
- [15] Ouakkas S., Nasri R., Djaa M., On the f -harmonic and f -biharmonic maps. *JP. J. Geom. Top.* (1), 10 (2010), 11–27.
- [16] Ou Y-L., On f -biharmonic maps and f -biharmonic submanifolds, *Pacific J. Math.*, 271 (2014), 461–477.
- [17] Roth, J., Upadhyay, A., Biharmonic submanifolds of generalized space forms, *Diff. Geo. and Appl.* 50 (2017), 88-104.
- [18] Roth, J., Upadhyay, A., f -Biharmonic and Bi- f -harmonic submanifolds of generalized space forms, arXiv:1609.08599 (2017).
- [19] Tricerri, F., Vanhecke, L., Curvature tensors on almost Hermitian manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 267 (1981), no. 2, 365 – 397.
- [20] Yano, K., Kon, M., Structures on manifolds, Series in Pure Mathematics. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co., (1984).

Current address: Fatma KARACA: Beykent University, Department of Mathematics, 34550, Beykent, Buyukcekmece, Istanbul, TURKEY.

E-mail address: fatmagurlerr@gmail.com

ORCID Address: <http://orcid.org/x0000-0002-0382-8028>