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#### Abstract

This paper devoted to obtaining some inequalities for positive multilinear mappings. More precisely, we present some Kantorovich and arithmeticgeometric mean inequalities for this kind of mappings. Our results improve earlier results by Kian and Dehghani.


## 1. Introduction

Let $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})=M_{n}$ be the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices and assume that $M$ and $m$ are scalars and $I$ denotes the identity matrix. We write $A \geq 0$ to mean that the matrix $A$ is positive semidefinite matrix and identify $A \geq B$ with $A-B \geq 0$. Likewise, we write $A>0$ to refer that $A$ is a positive definite matrix. The operator norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|$.

A linear map $\Phi: M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{k}(\mathbb{C})$ is called positive if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$, whenever $A \geq 0$. Also $\Phi$ is strictly positive if $\Phi(A)>0$, whenever $A>0$ and $\Phi$ is called unital if $\Phi(I)=I$. A real-valued continuous function $f$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ is called matrix monotone if $f(A) \geq f(B)$ for $A \geq B \geq 0$. It is well known that $f(t)=t^{r}$ $(0 \leq r \leq 1)$ is a matrix monotone function, namely

$$
A \geq B \Longrightarrow A^{p} \geq B^{p} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq p \leq 1
$$

Although,

$$
A \geq B \Longrightarrow A^{p} \geq B^{p} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq p
$$

is not true in general.
If $\Phi: M_{n} \longrightarrow M_{p}$ is a unital positive linear mapping, then Kadison's inequality states that $\Phi^{2}(A) \leq \Phi\left(A^{2}\right)$ for every Hermitian matrix $A$ and Choi's inequality says that $\Phi^{-1}(A) \leq \Phi\left(A^{-1}\right)$ for every strictly positive matrix $A$, see 4]. There have been a lot of works in which counterparts of these inequalities are presented. Especially see [8, 9].

[^0]A mapping $\Phi: M_{n}^{k}:=M_{n} \times \ldots \times M_{n} \rightarrow M_{p}$ is said to be multilinear if it is linear in each of its variable. A multilinear mapping $\Phi: M_{n}^{k} \longrightarrow M_{p}$ is called positive if $\Phi\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \geq 0$ whenever $A_{i} \geq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. It is called strictly positive if $A_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ implies that $\Phi\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)>0$ and $\Phi$ is called unital if $\Phi(I, \ldots, I)=I$; see [5].

Recently, Dehghani et al. [5] obtained an extension of the Choi's inequality and Kadison's inequality for positive multilinear mappings:

Lemma 1. If $\Phi: M_{n}^{k} \longrightarrow M_{p}$ is a unital positive multilinear mapping, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{-1}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \leq \Phi\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \leq \Phi\left(A_{1}^{2}, \ldots, A_{k}^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all strictly positive matrices $A_{i} \in M_{n}(i=1, \ldots, k)$.
In the same paper, the authors presented an Pólya-Szegö type inequality for strictly positive multilinear mappings as follows: If $\left(A_{1}, \ldots A_{k}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right)$ are $k$-tuples of positive matrices with $0<m I \leq A_{i}, B_{i} \leq M I(i=1, \ldots, k)$ for some positive real numbers $m<M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \sharp \Phi\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right) \leq \frac{M^{k}+m^{k}}{2 M^{\frac{k}{2}} m^{\frac{k}{2}}} \Phi\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A \sharp B=A^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is called geometric mean of $A, B$. In [3], Kian and Dehghani presented a Kantorovich type inequality for positive multilinear mappings which is a counterpart of (1) as follows:
Lemma 2. If $A_{i} \in M_{n}(i=1, \ldots, k)$ are positive matrices with $0<m I \leq A_{i} \leq M I$ for some scalars $m<M$ and $\Phi: M_{n}^{k} \longrightarrow M_{p}$ is a unital positive multilinear mapping, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) \leq \frac{\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{2}}{4 M^{k} m^{k}} \Phi^{-1}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the same assumptions of Lemma 2, Kian and Dehghani obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{p}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{2}}{4^{\frac{2}{p}} M^{k} m^{k}}\right)^{p} \Phi^{-p}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \quad \text { for } p \geq 2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)+M^{k} m^{k} \Phi\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) \leq\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right) I \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every unital positive multilinear mappings. By taking $0<m^{2} I \leq A_{i}^{2} \leq$ $M^{2} I$ in the inequality (6) and using inequality (2), we can write the following inequality which will be a important tool for getting our results

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)+M^{2 k} m^{2 k} \Phi^{2}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) \leq\left(M^{2 k}+m^{2 k}\right) I \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the paper [3], Kian and Dehgani proved that if $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right)$ are $k$-tuples of positive matrices with $0<m I \leq A_{i}, B_{i} \leq M I(i=1, \ldots, k)$ for some positive real numbers $m<M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2}\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{2}}{4 M^{k} m^{k}}\right)^{2} \Phi^{2}\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we will present some operator inequalities for positive unital multilinear mappings which are generalization of the inequality (8) and improvement of the inequality (5) for $p \geq 4$. Our idea throughout the paper is similar to the study of Fu and He [10] and Zhang [6] for positive linear maps . Moreover, we will give a squared version of the inequality (3).

## 2. Main Results

Let's give some well known lemmas before we give the main theorems of this paper.

Lemma 3. (i) [2, Theorem 1] Let $A, B>0$. Then the following norm inequality holds:

$$
\|A B\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|A+B\|^{2}
$$

(ii) [1, Theorem 3] Let $A$ and $B$ be positive operators. Then

$$
\left\|A^{r}+B^{r}\right\| \leq\left\|(A+B)^{r}\right\| \quad \text { for } 1 \leq r \leq \infty
$$

Theorem 4. Let $A_{i} \in M_{n}$ with $0<m \leq A_{i} \leq M$ for some positive real numbers $m<M(i=1, \ldots, k)$. If $\Phi: M_{n}^{k} \rightarrow M_{l}$ is a unital positive multilinear mapping, then for $4 \leq p<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{p}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) \leq\left(\frac{M^{2 k}+m^{2 k}}{4^{\frac{2}{p}} M^{k} m^{k}}\right)^{p} \Phi^{-p}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The matrix inequality (9) is equivalent to

$$
\left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(M^{2 k}+m^{2 k}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{M^{\frac{k p}{2}} m^{\frac{k p}{2}}} .
$$

Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) M^{\frac{k p}{2}} m^{\frac{k p}{2}} \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)+M^{\frac{k p}{2}} m^{\frac{k p}{2}} \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& (\text { by Lemma 3 }(i)) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left\|\left(\Phi^{2}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)+M^{2 k} m^{2 k} \Phi^{2}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{4}}\right\|^{2} \\
& (\text { by Lemma 3 }(i i)) \\
= & \frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi^{2}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)+M^{2 k} m^{2 k} \Phi^{2}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right)\right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left\|\left(M^{2 k}+m^{2 k}\right) I\right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad(\text { by } 7) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left(M^{2 k}+m^{2 k}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right) \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{\left(M^{2 k}+m^{2 k}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}}{4 M^{\frac{k p}{2}} m^{\frac{k p}{2}}}
$$

Thus inequality (9) holds.

Remark 5. It is obvious that inequality (9) is tighter than inequality (5) for $p \geq 4$.
Now, let's give the generalization of the inequality (8).

Theorem 6. Let $A_{i}, B_{i} \in M_{n}$ with $0<m \leq A_{i}, B_{i} \leq M$ for some positive real numbers $m<M(i=1, \ldots, k)$. If $\Phi: M_{n}^{k} \rightarrow M_{l}$ is a unital positive multilinear mapping, then for $2 \leq p<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{p}\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{2}}{4^{\frac{2}{p}} M^{k} m^{k}}\right)^{p} \Phi^{p}\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The claimed inequality is equivalent to

$$
\left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right) M^{\frac{k p}{2}} m^{\frac{k p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{p} .
$$

By computation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right) M^{\frac{k p}{2}} m^{\frac{k p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right)+M^{\frac{k p}{2}} m^{\frac{k p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by Lemma 3 (i))

$$
\leq \frac{1}{4}\left\|\left(\Phi\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right)+M^{k} m^{k} \Phi\left(\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}\right)^{-1}, \ldots,\left(A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right)^{-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|^{2}
$$

(by Lemma 3 (ii))
$=\frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right)+M^{k} m^{k} \Phi\left(\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}\right)^{-1}, \ldots,\left(A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right)^{-1}\right)\right\|^{p}$.
By operator arithmetic-geometric mean inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left\|\Phi\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right)+M^{k} m^{k} \Phi\left(\frac{A_{1}^{-1}+B_{1}^{-1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}^{-1}+B_{k}^{-1}}{2}\right)\right\|^{p} \\
= & \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2^{p k}}\left\|\Phi\left(A_{1}+B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}+B_{k}\right)+M^{k} m^{k} \Phi\left(A_{1}^{-1}+B_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}+B_{k}^{-1}\right)\right\|^{p} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2^{p k}} \| \Phi\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)+M^{k} m^{k} \Phi\left(A_{1}^{-1}, A_{2}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right)+\Phi\left(B_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)+ \\
& +M^{k} m^{k} \Phi\left(B_{1}^{-1}, A_{2}^{-1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{-1}\right)+\ldots+\Phi\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}\right) \\
& +M^{k} m^{k} \Phi\left(B_{1}^{-1}, B_{2}^{-1}, \ldots, B_{k}^{-1}\right) \|^{p} \\
\leq & \left.\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2^{p k}} 2^{p k}\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{p}(\text { by } 6)\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4}\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\frac{A_{1}+B_{1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{A_{k}+B_{k}}{2}\right) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{p}}{M^{\frac{k p}{2}} m^{\frac{k p}{2}}} .
$$

Thus (8) holds.
Remark 7. Inequality (8) is a special case of Theorem by taking $p=2$. Thus (10) is a generalization of (8).

Finally, let's give squared version of (3). For our object, we need the following lemma (see [7, Theorem 6]).

Lemma 8. Let $A, B \in M_{n}$ such that $0<A \leq B$ and $0<m \leq A \leq M$. Then

$$
A^{2} \leq K(h) B^{2}
$$

where $K(h)=\frac{(h+1)^{2}}{4 h}$ with $h=\frac{M}{m}$.
Theorem 9. Let $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ be positive matrices with $0<m I \leq A_{i}, B_{i} \leq M I$ $(i=1, \ldots, k)$ for some positive real numbers $m<M$ and $\Phi$ be a strictly positive unital multilinear map. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \sharp \Phi\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(\frac{\left(M^{k}+m^{k}\right)^{2}}{4 M^{k} m^{k}}\right)^{2} \Phi^{2}\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \sharp \Phi\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right) \leq \frac{M^{k}+m^{k}}{2 M^{\frac{k}{2}} m^{\frac{k}{2}}} \Phi\left(A_{1} \sharp B_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \sharp B_{k}\right) .
$$

Since $m^{k} \leq \Phi\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right) \sharp \Phi\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right) \leq M^{k}$, by applying Lemma 8 we get the inequality (11).
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